BEFORE THE IMPARTIAL ARBITRATOR DAVID L. MILLER

In the Matter of Arbitration Between:

PACIFIC MARITIME ASSOCIATION

and

INTERNATIONAL LONGSHORE AND WAREHOUSE UNION, LOCAL 13

(re: Nonimplementation of Award)
Numbers SCGM-0009-2012 and)
CA-10-2012)

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Long Beach, California

Tuesday, December 18, 2012

Reported by:

MARCENA M. MUNGUIA, CSR No. 10420

Job No.: B9040PMA(B)

1

Kennedy Court Reporters, Inc. 800-231-2682

BEFORE THE IMPARTIAL ARBITRATOR DAVID L. MILLER

In the Matter of Arbitration Between:

PACIFIC MARITIME ASSOCIATION

and

INTERNATIONAL LONGSHORE AND WAREHOUSE UNION, LOCAL 13

(re: Nonimplementation of Award)
 Numbers SCGM-0009-2012 and)
 CA-10-2012)

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, taken at 300 Oceangate, Twelfth Floor, Long Beach, California, commencing at 11:00 a.m. on Tuesday, December 18, 2012, heard before DAVID L. MILLER, an Impartial Arbitrator, reported by MARCENA M. MUNGUIA, CSR No. 10420, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of California.

APPEARANCES:

For the EMPLOYERS: PACIFIC MARITIME ASSOCIATION

By: STEVE FRESENIUS

300 Oceangate Twelfth Floor

Long Beach, California 90802-4443

For the UNION:

INTERNATIONAL LONGSHORE

AND WAREHOUSE UNION,

LOCAL 13

By: HARRY DONG 630 Centre Street San Pedro, California 90731

Also Present:

C. Lindsay, PMA
R. Merical, PMA
P. Tabyanan, PMA
E. Naefke, PMA

INDEX

PAGE

Proceedings on the record

5

EXHIBITS

JOINT:	Marked for Identification	
1 - Opinion and Decision Number SCGM-0009-2012, dated 10/9/12	8	8
2 - Opinion and Decision Number CA-10-2012, dated 11/30/12	8	8
UNION'S:		
1 - 11/21/12 letter from Marzano to Sundet and Ortiz	8	8
2 - 11/27/12 letter from Marzano to Sundet and Ortiz	9	9

4

Kennedy Court Reporters, Inc. 800-231-2682

1	Long Beach, California, Tuesday, December 18, 2012
2	11:00 a.m.
3	
4	
5	MR. MILLER: All right. We're going on the record.
6	The Union has called the Arbitrator regarding a
7	failed to implement by the Employers regarding the
8	Pacific Coast Special Grievance Handbook.
9	So let's make sure we understand something here.
10	Under the Special Grievance Handbook, we've got a list of
11	the way the appeal procedure works. It ends with
12	Mr. Rubio. Does either side want to disagree with me
13	that those are the words out of that book? Either side
14	want to tell me right now that isn't what the book says?
15	MR. FRESENIUS: That's what the book says, but
16	we would
17	MR. MILLER: I didn't ask you that. I want anybody
18	to tell me the book says there's another step past
19	Mr. Rubio other than page 12, item 13. I'll read it for
20	you into the record:
21	"The JPLRC shall promptly implement the
22	remedies provided in the final decision. No
23	other appeals or proceedings, including
24	appeals to the JCLRC or the Coast
25	Arbitrator, shall be allowed in cases

involving Section 13.2 claims in order to ensure their final resolution with all due speed," "claims in order to ensure their final resolution with all due speed."

Does everybody understand that? Now, I want you guys to think. That's what an Arbitrator is told to do and make sure the parties abide by it. Let's make sure we understand that.

Now, if somebody -- this came from the Coast Committee when they developed this back then and they took themselves out of it. As you can see, they took themselves out of the appeal procedure. I did not write it. I did not write it, which means until somebody wants to give me something different, there is no appeal to the Coast. The JCLRC has none, no voice in this whatsoever. Therefore, the local parties, Mr. Rubio has given his decision, which is Case Number 10 of 2012, which is an appeal of SCGM-0009-2012.

They both -- they originated with the decision made by myself, and Mr. Rubio affirmed it and modified it in a form that does not deter from what you're obligated to do.

Is that a true story of what's happened? MR. DONG: Yes, sir.

MR. MILLER: Nobody objects to it? That's exactly

1	what's happened.
2	MR. FRESENIUS: Well, can I
3	MR. MILLER: No. Wait a second. It's real specific.
4	Mr. Aldape is prohibited from work for 180 days,
5	affirmed by Mr. Rudy Rubio.
6	Now, do you have any objection to abiding by the
7	Award, Mr. Dong?
8	MR. DONG: No, sir.
9	MR. MILLER: All right. Mr. Fresenius, are you
10	you seem to be the one that has some reason why you don't
11	want to abide by both Awards. Do you have a and the
12	wording I've already quoted in Section 13, which then
13	takes us to the black book, which I have to apply some of
14	the black book to the green book because that's the only
15	way to get it, because obviously you're not going to
16	implement the Award or you're going to tell me you have
17	implemented it. Have you implemented it?
18	MR. FRESENIUS: We have not.
19	MR. MILLER: Are you refusing to implement it?
20	MR. FRESENIUS: We are.
21	MR. MILLER: Okay. Then that's that. Then we'll
22	move it to the next step, which will be
23	MR. FRESENIUS: Can I make a comment though?
24	MR. MILLER: You can make a statement. You already
25	said you're not going to implement it. That's good

enough for me. Do you have a reason why you don't want to implement it?

MR. FRESENIUS: I just wanted to address the issue about what it says in the green book, and we certainly don't disagree, as you read it straight from there. The

don't disagree, as you read it straight from there. The only thing that we're claiming is when we say the CLRC has no jurisdiction, they are the administer. They administrate the Collective Bargaining Agreements and we have made a request up to the CLRC to discuss this issue and we're waiting for that response from the International.

MR. MILLER: Give me the letter that I received also.

MR. DONG: There were two letters sent. This is the

first one sent dated November 21st.

MR. MILLER: Here's a letter sent. We'll make this
Union Exhibit 1. Joint Exhibit 1 will be the Miller
Award, Joint Exhibit 2 will be the Rubio Award, and Union
Exhibit 1 will be a letter from Marzano to the Coast
Committee.

Okay. And I'm going to assume -- any response?

(Joint Exhibits 1 and 2 were marked for for identification by the Arbitrator and received in evidence.)

(Union's Exhibit 1 was marked for identification by the Arbitrator and received

1	in evidence.)
2	MR. FRESENIUS: No response.
3	MR. MILLER: Okay. Which they're not obligated to, I
4	guess, under the green book.
5	Okay. Any orders to this Arbitrator to do
6	anything different from the Coast Committee? Anything
7	from either side? Does either of you have anything
8	telling this Arbitrator to do anything other than make
9	sure my Award and Mr. Rubio's are implemented?
10	Nothing. Okay.
11	MR. DONG: Nothing.
12	MR. MILLER: Okay. And then we have a letter from
13	Mr. Marzano telling the Joint that's a Joint Dispatch
14	Hall, which means both of you run that Joint Dispatch
15	Hall; correct? You've taken it upon yourself as
16	Employers to tell the dispatchers at Local 13 to dispatch
17	this man, contrary to both Awards. Correct?
18	(Union's Exhibit 2 was marked for
19	identification by the Arbitrator and received
20	in evidence.)
21	MR. FRESENIUS: Well, we have
22	MR. MILLER: Yes or no?
23	MR. FRESENIUS: We haven't told them anything.
24	MR. MILLER: You didn't, h'm?
25	What did they tell your dispatchers? Who's this
1	

1	letter you didn't oh, so then it's okay for the
2	dispatchers to put him on nondispatch. You've got a
3	letter here that says don't put him on.
4	MR. FRESENIUS: Yeah, but you asked had we told the
5	dispatchers to do anything.
6	MR. MILLER: Did you give a dispatcher, like you
7	always do, a letter saying this man is on nondispatch?
8	MR. FRESENIUS: We did not.
9	MR. MILLER: Did you do that originally?
10	MR. FRESENIUS: We did not.
11	MR. MILLER: Aren't you obligated to do that,
12	according to the Awards, yes or no?
13	MR. FRESENIUS: Well, I mean, that's the normal
14	practice, but sometimes an Arbitration Award is enough
15	that we don't put someone on nondispatch. You direct
16	them
17	MR. MILLER: Do you have a problem if Local 13 today
18	puts him on nondispatch?
19	MR. FRESENIUS: We have a problem with it. We're not
20	saying
21	MR. MILLER: You don't want it done.
22	MR. FRESENIUS: We don't want it done.
23	MR. MILLER: It's a Joint Dispatch Hall. It takes
24	both sides to agree to it. Are you disagreeing with it
25	if they took it? Would you consider that unilateral

action on their part?

MR. FRESENIUS: Well, there's actually a precedent-setting arbitration that you did where we put someone on nondispatch and they refused to do it and you ruled that they had to do it.

MR. MILLER: Thank you. Thank you.

All right. I'm going to move this -- if you do not abide by the arbitrations by 5:00 today under the black book, Mr. Dong, you're free to move this to the next step, whatever that step may be. In this case, I'm going to assume it's somewhere at the Coast level, Mr. Rubio. I don't know where you go after this, but I'm going to consider it stalled if you do not receive a joint letter and the PMA will not partake jointly with you, as the Awards say.

Both sides understand that? Okay.

MR. FRESENIUS: Is your order enough for the Union to place him on nondispatch?

MR. MILLER: I'm giving you a verbal order. It's a Joint Dispatch Hall.

MR. FRESENIUS: Understood.

MR. MILLER: You either agree with them or don't agree with them. They're not going to do it unilaterally like the Employers have done and send out a unilateral letter unless that's what they want to do. If they want

1	to do that, then they'll be guilty of unilateral action.
2	You're guilty of unilateral action. You've taken it
3	unilaterally upon yourself to disobey an order which is
4	in the form of an arbitration decision; in fact, two
5	decisions. One's basically the Coast Arbitrator and if
6	you want to defy him, be my guest. It doesn't bother me.
7	Now let's go to the section where we're going to
8	go before it's stalled. 17
9	MR. FRESENIUS: 151.
10	MR. MILLER: 17.151. Because of the Employer's
11	nonaction, and if it continues until 5:00 o'clock,
12	Mr. Dong, you are free to proceed under 17.15, 17.151,
13	but there's another one after that.
14	Where's the one about moving it, that the
15	grievance procedure is stalled?
16	MR. FRESENIUS: That's in 151 in the last paragraph:
17	"If such complaint is not resolved
18	within seven days," that's what we're doing
19	here, "the matter shall be referred to the
20	Area Arbitrator whose decision shall be
21	final and binding. The grievance procedure
22	shall then be deemed exhausted."
23	Is that what you're referring to?
24	MR. MILLER: The one we just used on the picket line
25	language the other day. There's another one. 17.282

17.151 and 17.282 is at your disposal if the Employer continues.

"If the Local grievance machinery becomes stalled or fails to work, the matter in dispute can be referred at once by either Union or the Association to the Joint Coast Labor Relations Committee for disposition."

That's the way we've always done it. When you don't implement Awards, it's stalled. It's on the record. Okay? You understand that? 5:00, I want to

Okay, Mr. Dong? You keep in correspondence. You leave your fax lines open for Mr. Fresenius to comply with you with the normal jointly agreed to nondispatch letter that goes to your dispatchers in accordance with both Awards, Miller's and Rubio's. You understand that?

Both sides understand that?

MR. DONG: Yes, sir.

hear from one party or the other.

MR. MILLER: If it doesn't happen at 5:00 -- again, all this will go into writing tomorrow. If it fails to happen by 5:00, I will then give you a decision that 17 point -- you can go ahead and go verbally under those two sections I gave you and take this to whatever step you want next. All right?

MR. DONG: Okay.

Kennedy Court Reporters, Inc. 800-231-2682

I

```
MR. MILLER: This issue is closed. Thank you.
 1
                (Proceedings concluded at 11:10 a.m.)
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

Kennedy Court Reporters, Inc. 800-231-2682