BEFORE THE

ILWU LOCAL 13 TRIAL COMMITTEE

STEVE LEWIS, CHAIRMAN

COPY

In the Matter of the Trial
Committee Hearing

(re: Lawrence Toledo complaint
against Eric Aldape)

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

San Pedro, California

Tuesday, January 19, 2016

Reported by:

MARCENA M. MUNGUIA, CSR No. 10420

Job No.: 8491ILWU



COURT REPORTERS, INC.
920 WEST 17TH STREET
SECOND FLOOR
SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA
92706

1	BEFORE THE
2	ILWU LOCAL 13 TRIAL COMMITTEE
3	STEVE LEWIS, CHAIRMAN
4	
5	
6	In the Matter of the Trial
7	Committee Hearing)
0	(re: Lawrence Toledo complaint) against Eric Aldape)
8	against Eile Aldape, ,
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, taken at
16	630 South Centre Street, San Pedro,
17	California, commencing at 1:35 p.m.
18	on Tuesday, January 19, 2016, heard before
19	the ILWU Local 13 Trial Committee,
20	STEVE LEWIS, Chairman, reported by
21	MARCENA M. MUNGUIA, CSR No. 10420,
22	a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for
23	the State of California.
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	CHAIRMAN:	Steve Lewis
3	ATTORNEY-OF-FACTS:	John Seixas
4	SECRETARY:	John Marquez
5	TRIAL COMMITTEE:	James Black Ernie Duarte Anthony Guglielmo
6		Chuck Johnson
7		Arthur Lowe Jason Molina
8		Robert Trimmer Valerie Zaks
9	For the COMPLAINANT:	Lawrence David Toledo
10		Eric Aldape
11	For the DEFENDANT:	
12	Also present:	Bobby Olvera, Jr. JoJo Cortez Bobby Elliot
13		George Lujan
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		TNDEV	
1		INDEX	FURTHER
2	WITNESSES:	EXAMINATION	EXAMINATION
3	GEORGE LUJAN		
4	By Mr. Seixas	24	
5	BOBBY OLVERA, JR. By Mr. Seixas	31	38
6	By Mr. Lewis	33 43	42
7	By Mr. Toledo	4.5	
8	BOBBY ELLIOT By Mr. Seixas	48	
9	- 1		
10			
11			
12		T A C H M E N T	
13	1 - 12/1/15 letter from Lewis to Aldape		
14	2 - 12/29/15 letter f:		
15	3 - 1/14/16 letter fro		
16	4 - 1/13/16 letter fro		
17	5 - Excerpt from Const Grievance Committe	titution, Section ee, Subsection b,	10, page 12
18	6 - One-page document		
19	clarifications	requesting accum	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	San Pedro, California, Tuesday, January 19, 2016
2	1:35 p.m.
3	
4	
5	MR. LEWIS: We're going to call the pretrial hearing
6	to order.
7	MR. SEIXAS: What you want to do is you want to read
8	his
9	MR. LEWIS: For those of us that don't know about the
10	letter
11	MR. SEIXAS: I'm sorry. We can't get the letter for
12	the pretrial.
13	MR. LEWIS: So I'm going to have to read the e-mail
14	that was sent to me from Mr. Aldape, dated January 19th,
15	2016.
16	"I am in receipt of your letter dated
17	December 1st, 2015 and I have a few
18	questions and a couple of demands.
19	"Why did you choose to write, 'Pursuant
20	to your nonattendance' instead of 'Pursuant
21	to your request to reschedule due to your
22	attending your brother's chemotherapy?'
23	"Why did you choose to try and make it
24	look like I did not show for the hearing
25	with no explanation? Is it because you are

biased?

"Why did you allow Larry to submit half of the record of what happened at the caucus related to my letter, which is the basis for Larry's" change or "charge against me?" Sorry. "Is it because you are biased?

"Why did the president fail to follow the rules that you provided and select the Trial Committee at the Union meeting where it was filed? Is it because you are biased?

"On November 3rd, 2015, the Grievance Committee was scheduled to hear the same complaint Larry filed against me as a Union grievance, until Bobby told him he needed to drop it because the Constitution says it is not" -- it is -- "it is no double jeopardy.

"Bobby knows what happened at the caucus related to my letter. Bobby knows that Big Bob took the microphone and told the caucus how he used my letter" -- or "how he used my letter. He told us how he threw the letter down on the table after PMA pushed it across and told them there was no way he was going to accept \$5.50, and then he walked out and refused to meet for two

days.

"Big Bob told the caucus that he used my letter to get the PMA to give us another \$1 an hour, which is how we got \$6.50.

"Bob knows that I accepted censure, as recommended by the Chair, JoJo" -- or "as recommended by the Chair, JoJo Cortez, and the fact that he took the microphone right after lunch and told the caucus Local 13 had censured me and the matter was done.

"Larry's acquisition that my letter" -"accusation that my letter cost the Union
\$0.50 per hour because he did not know" -"he did not get the \$7 that was originally demanded. Is it as stupid as" -- "is he as stupid as he is, and is contradicted by the caucus records?

"If you really intend to go forward with this ridiculous claim, then I have a couple demands. I demand a copy of the transcripts for the afternoon session of the caucus where my letter was discussed and my censure was made part of the record.

"I demand the list of the members who signed up for the Trial Committee at the

first Union meeting where it was read. At 1 the second Union meeting where no one signed 2 up because Bobby called no quorum, but that 3 did not stop him from proceeding to 4 bad-mouth me to those present, knowing that 5 I was not there to defend myself. Is it 6 because he is biased? 7 "I demand the list of the members who 8 signed up for the Trial Committee at the 9 third Union meeting where it was read. 10 "I demand that the caucus chair, JoJo 11 Cortez, be cited to appear at the hearing. 12 "I demand that a court reporter be 13 hired to transcribe the hearing, at my 14 expense. 15 "Your immediate attention to this 16 matter is appreciated. 17 "Sincerely, Eric Aldape, 37519." 18 That's what this pretrial hearing is about. 19 Okay. Attorney-of-facts? MR. SEIXAS: What I'm going to do is -- like I said, 21 we're in pretrial. So, Eric, there's some of the things that you 23 requested we're able do. Some of them we're not. One of 24 the things I wanted to focus in on for you was you 25

1	brought up double jeopardy and I wanted to ask you if
2	there was anything you had to add to this at this point
3	before I read out of Robert's Rules of Order for you.
4	Was there anything, Eric? Are you going to
5	answer?
6	MR. LEWIS: Mr. Aldape, have you got something to
7	say?
8	MR. ALDAPE: It's your guys' show, bro. I'm just
9	here.
10	MR. LEWIS: Well, you requested that JoJo be here
11	to I guess to bolster your claim that you were already
12	censured, so you were already disciplined. Is that what
13	you're getting at?
14	MR. SEIXAS: Okay. Eric, if you have nothing to add
15	at this point, I'm going to ask that we go into Executive
16	Session and discuss your request and we'll give you an
17	answer in a few minutes.
	So I'm going to make that motion. Anybody want
18	
19	to second it? MR. DUARTE: Second.
20	
21	MR. BLACK: Second.
22	MR. LEWIS: All in favor?
23	(The motion was passed)
24	(Executive Session)
25	MR. LEWIS: All right. The Chair calls this Trial

Committee back into session. 1 Eric, just out of pure politeness, you know, 2 we're all sitting here. Can you be punctual, please, 3 please? 4 MR. ALDAPE: I was in here on time. 5 MR. LEWIS: We had to go chase you down. I mean, we 6 asked everybody to stand by. I'm just asking, please. 7 MR. ALDAPE: I'll check in with the -- you got a 8 sergeant-at-arms on the committee? All right, brother. 9 I'll check in with you next time I walk out. 10 MR. LEWIS: Thank you, sir. 11 Attorney-of-facts? 12 MR. SEIXAS: Eric, I'm going to give you a reading, 13 what's our conclusion as to your pretrial motion, and 14 there's a couple of things. 15 On the Trial Committee guidelines, we adopted 16 the guidelines to be used only as a guideline. It's not 17 hard-and-fast rule, but what we did adopt is the trial 18 procedure from 1965. So that's the procedure we'll be 19 using. So there's your answer on that. 20 The double jeopardy question -- and I'm going to 21 read to you real quickly from Robert's Rules of Order. 22 23 It says: "Punishments that a society can impose 24 generally fall under the headings of

censure, fine, if authorized in the bylaws, suspension, or expulsion. The extreme penalty that an organization or society can impose on a member is expulsion."

If you drop down, there's a footnote and it says, "It is also possible to adopt a motion of censure without formal disciplinary procedures."

And that's what the Trial Committee has ruled, is that we find that it was not disciplinary, that it does not conclude in double jeopardy, and the reason being is it was a parliamentary procedure and a motion made on the floor to show displeasure at the time with your actions, and it was made by JoJo and we find that it was not disciplinary. And since there was no evidence offered by yourself when you were given a chance, we consider the case or that matter formally closed.

So at this point right now, what I'm going to do is we're going to move the trial along and I'm going to read the charge. And the charge is regarding against brother Eric Aldape, conduct unbecoming of a union member, an offense detrimental to the ILWU, Local 13, and unionism in general. And it says:

"Under Article XIII of the ILWU 13's Constitution and Bylaw, I hereby file charges against Brother Eric Aldape for

conduct unbecoming of a union member and offense detrimental to the ILWU and unionism in general.

"Ever since the beginning of society, individuals have organized into associations of one kind or another for mutual protection and benefit. In forming these associations, certain rules were formulated whereby each individual agreed he would not perform any act which would prove detrimental to the others, in order that the collective welfare of all might be maintained and protected.

"During the month of February, Eric
Aldape circulated a flier that the employer,
PMA, used against the ILWU Negotiating Team
in which the information in the flier had
cost every longshoreman in the West Coast
\$0.50, times an average of 2,000 hours per
year per longshoreman, times five years,
times 20,000 West Coast members, which is
equivalent to a hundred million dollars for
the life of the Contract.

"Eric Aldape is held to a higher standard since he is an officer of this great organization. He had the trust of all

2.4

25

the members. With willful intent he went against the members, did not protect the collective welfare of all. He took advantage of the leadership position, broke ranks, and broke the oath that we all take when we become Union members. In his flier, 'With the all in we can win' like many of his fliers, caused discourse, discouragement, division, uncertainty of unionism in general amongst the members, and most importantly took the leverage away from the negotiating committee while they bargained for a contract with the employer. Amendment 1 of the U.S. Constitution 'freedom of speech, or of the press' only applies to protection from the U.S. government's powers against the people. LMRDA Title 1 Section 101 (a), Subsection 1 and 2, provides limited protection of a Union member's expression of their views, subject to reasonable rules and regulations in such organization's constitution and bylaws.

"Eric Aldape, along with an outside person, have created an antiunion website

2.3

that lambasts ILWU, more specifically Local 13.

"Back in the end of 2012, Eric Aldape secretly confided to me of a website he and a friend were creating. At the time, the magnet business card that he had given me was lost and I never paid it no mind until after" -- until after -- excuse me -- "until after later on hearing other Union members talk about the site.

"The same style and language that Eric had been distributing in his fliers was also the same style and language that were in the articles on the website. Eric has testified in court proceedings, NLRB Case Number 21-California-39434 and NLRB Case Number 21-CB-14966, that his fliers are based off of rumors and vindictiveness. His fliers have been proven in court to not be factual."

MR. OLVERA: Excuse me. Point of order.

MR. SEIXAS: Yeah?

MR. OLVERA: The brother's making phone calls while we're on the record with a court reporter. I'd like his phone either removed or placed on the table so that the

body can see who he's calling and allowing to listen to 1 the ongoing proceedings. 2 MR. LEWIS: Mr. Aldape, can you set it down in front 3 of you? 4 MR. ALDAPE: Nope. Not going to happen, bro. 5 MR. LEWIS: Can you at least promise us that you 6 won't make any phone calls while we're -- I mean, we're 7 not making any either. 8 MR. ALDAPE: Yeah. 9 MR. LEWIS: Thank you. 10 MS. ZAKS: You're also not supposed to be recording 11 anything either. It says it in the rules. 12 MR. LEWIS: We've got your court reporter. I don't 13 know -- she's getting down everything you need. I don't 14 know why you need to record it. 15 MS. ZAKS: There's no extra recording in here, it 16 says, besides her. 17 MR. DUARTE: Is he recording? 18 MR. LEWIS: You asked for this. 19 MR. ALDAPE: No. You guys brought me here. MR. LEWIS: Go on, Mr. Seixas. 21 MR. SEIXAS: All right. 22 "Yet these fliers he produces is read 23 by Union members who have entrusted Eric to 24 be truthful, which he is not. One flier was 25

used against him in a 13.2 violation.

"As an elected official, his actions and fliers display a great lack of integrity and judgment, lack of leadership traits, and a bad example for the younger generation of the ILWU to follow.

"A lot of what was in the news and newspaper was the same information that repeated itself or information that we as Union members received in e-mails, bulletins, and text blasts. But what was put in the flier, 'With the all in we can win' written by Eric Aldape, was personally used successfully by Jim McKenna against the ILWU at the negotiating table.

"Along with this complaint, I have submitted evidence and I am requesting that George Lujan and Bobby Elliott," who both are present, "be cited to give recollection of their interactions and observations of Eric Aldape's conduct and behavior.

"As such, I hereby submit this charge to the office of the secretary-treasurer and request a reading of this charge at the next membership meeting resulting in election of

a Trial Committee to hear this charge.

"Sincerely, Lawrence Toledo, 37920, A crane board dayside."

Okay. At this point, what I'm going to do -- at this point, what I'm going to do is -- and it's a lot shorter. I'm going to go ahead and read my attorney-of-facts report and then I'll ask -- Eric, I'll ask you how do you plead to the charge, and this will only take a quick minute.

This is dated 10/6/15.

"To: Trial committee from John Seixas, 133130, attorney-of-facts, regarding Trial Committee recommendation concerning David Toledo versus Eric Aldape.

"After many hours of thoughtful investigation and retrospection, I recommend that the Trial Committee concerning David Toledo versus Eric Aldape concerning behavior detrimental to Local 13, the ILWU, and trade Unionism be allowed to go forward.

"Eric was nominated to the position of caucus delegate for the explicit purpose of guaranteeing a good Contract. While he was serving as a caucus delegate, he took it upon himself to provide information to his

partner, Jim Tessier, nonILWU/ex-PMA employee, about our Contract dealings and also to write a flier about PMA's offer. While according to interview with George Lujan, he was in the moment with Eric because Eric had decided to draw him into his web, he was not able to recall if the flier had done any damage to negotiations.

"When I contacted Victor Hudak about the damage that the flier had cost us, Victor and several other caucus delegates were able to assure me that not only did Eric damage us at the bargaining table, even International President Bob McEllrath was upset with Eric's behavior. This not only demonstrates Eric's willingness to violate his oath of office, but it was done while Eric had taken a fiduciary responsibility to represent the membership, exchanging money for services to the ILWU.

"Eric confessed at the San Francisco caucus that it was him that had done the flier and leaks to nonILWU Americans. He later reconfirmed that he was the one who violated his oath by admitting at the

Contract rally held at Home Depot Center,
'Yeah, I did it. What the fuck you gonna do
about it?' as witnessed by David Toledo and
Bobby Elliot.

"Julie Brady and Sam Moega, caucus delegates, along with Bobby Olvera, Jr., were able to recall that the caucus delegates were reminded at San Francisco meeting that they were to keep confidential all information concerning Contract. The reminders were given in writing, in written instructions via handouts to caucus delegates. See Coast by-laws handout and Caucus Rules handout. Members of Local 13 were also asked to keep things private via informational handout and verbal information at local stop work meetings. See attached bulletin."

I have the -- both those and two -- about two or three more sentences to go, folks, and I'll be done with all the readings. It says --

(Interruption in the proceedings)

MR. LEWIS: Hold on. Hold on. Thank you.

MR. SEIXAS: Yeah. Please put your phones on vibrate.

Okay. And this is the Coast Longshore Division 1 bylaws which everybody received, all caucus delegates. 3 It says: "All Negotiating Committee documents are for the use of the members of the Committee only and shall not be distributed or made available to anyone except upon approval of the Negotiating Committee." That was Rule Number 4. And then Rule Number 6: 10 "Press releases shall be issued only by 11 joint agreement between the Union and the 12 PMA, except that the Union Committee 13 reserves the right to inform the membership 14 on the progress of negotiations through the 15 dispatcher and letters to the locals." 16 And I'm going to read longshore caucus rules and 17 Rule Number 16: 18 "All publicity shall be released solely 19 through the Titled Officers. The Titled 20 Officers, when occasion demands, shall 21 consult with the delegates from any local or locals interested in or affected by the 23 release of such publicity." 24 And to read further into the record, I have

International Longshore and Warehouse Union bulletin, 1 Bulletin Number 29-14. On the back is a nice big picture of: "Facebook gives the Employer the upper 4 hand. Protect yourself and your job. Discuss Union business at the Hall, not 6 online. Remember, the Employer, the government, and the media will use your 8 words against individual members and your 9 Union. Let's protect ourselves and each 10 other by discussing Union business at the 11 Dispatch Hall and at meetings and keep 12 job-related photos, videos, and posts off 13 line. An injury to one is an injury to all. 14

Fraternally, Bobby Olvera, Junior,

President; Mondo Porras, Vice President;

Mark Williams, Secretary-Treasurer."

I am done at this point reading everything.

Thank you for being patient with me. That was a lot to read through.

Eric --

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

25

MR. ALDAPE: Can we take a caucus?

MR. SEIXAS: H'm?

MR. ALDAPE: Caucus.

MR. SEIXAS: Excuse me?

MR. ALDAPE: I'm going to take a caucus. 1 MR. SEIXAS: You need a -- excuse me. I just -- I'm 2 going to ask you --3 MR. ALDAPE: I'm going to take a caucus. I heard all your charges. I heard everything you read. I can take a 5 caucus. I can't take a caucus? MR. SEIXAS: You can wait until you've -- Eric, you 7 can wait until the chairman dismisses you if you need. 8 You don't just get up and walk out. 9 MR. LEWIS: I'm chained to the chair just like you. 10 Please sit down. 11 MR. ALDAPE: I'll sit down. Brother Chair, can I get 12 13 a caucus? MR. LEWIS: How long would you need, sir? 14 MR. ALDAPE: I don't know. 10, 15? 15 MR. LEWIS: 10, 15? Also, Mr. JoJo Cortez has a 16 doctor's appointment. I would like to excuse us for --17 Joe, a half hour? We adjourn for Mr. Aldape's caucus. 18 19 We'll come back at 2:45. (Recess from 2:08 p.m. to 2:43 p.m.) 20 MR. LEWIS: Can we call the Trial Committee back to order? Mr. Seixas, you have the floor. 23 MR. SEIXAS: Okay. At this point, we're kind of 24 going to go right back to where we were and I'm going 25

1	
1	to
2	MR. LEWIS: Let me hold up. I've got one request.
3	I'm going to do it. Can everyone just throw their phones
4	right in the middle?
5	MR. SEIXAS: Well, if it rings, I want to be able to
6	silence it. It's within reach. It's going to be the one
7	that rings.
8	MR. LEWIS: Go ahead.
9	MR. SEIXAS: So anyways, following trial procedure
10	guidelines, I'm going to ask Brother Aldape, how do you
11	plead to the charges?
12	MR. LEWIS: Eric, can you please answer?
13	MR. ALDAPE: It's your show, bro. Keep on going.
14	MR. LEWIS: Guilty? Not guilty? What?
15	MR. SEIXAS: Okay, Eric. You have decided not to
16	enter a plea, I'm going to assume? And no response.
17	Okay.
18	So anyways, I'm going to ask the witnesses some
19	questions that were present. I am going to go ahead and
20	start with Brother Lujan.
21	
22	GEORGE LUJAN,
23	called as a Witness, was examined and testified as
24	follows:
25	

1	EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. SEIXAS:
3	Q And Brother Lujan, just a couple of quick
4	questions, as you were a caucus delegate; is that
5	correct?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Brother Lujan
8	A Which caucus?
9	Q The at the up in San Francisco.
10	A I've been to many. Which one?
11	Q For Contract.
12	MS. ZAKS: Which year?
13	BY MR. SEIXAS:
14	Q In 2015 when we were going through Contract.
15	A Yes.
16	Q Sorry, Brother Lujan. At this point I'm just
17	going to walk over and show you a couple of examples of
18	the handouts. Do these (indicating), either of these,
19	look familiar to you?
20	A No.
21	Q This was the longshore caucus rules. Do you
22	remember seeing those as a handout that was part of your
23	information packet?
24	A To be honest with you, brother, I don't
25	remember. To be honest with you, John, I don't remember

this. We get a packet. I don't know. 1 MS. ZAKS: The court reporter needs to hear you guys. MR. LEWIS: Yeah. 3 THE WITNESS: Why don't we move the witness chair up 4 there then? 5 MR. SEIXAS: We can do that. 6 THE WITNESS: I want your chair. MR. SEIXAS: I want to stretch. Take my chair, 8 George, seriously. I'm not joking around. 9 MR. LEWIS: We've got spares. 10 THE WITNESS: I honestly don't remember. 11 BY MR. SEIXAS: 12 You remember getting a packet, but you don't 13 remember those papers? 14 We get a paper and to be honest with you, the 15 division and caucus rules, I've been getting those 16 probably since -- well, since I've been going, so I kind 17 of stopped reading. 18 But you are familiar with the rules that are 19 circled in there that were read earlier? 20 Somewhat. A 21 22 0 Okay. I mean, this is the type of stuff that's real 23 generic at every caucus. 24 Yes. \bigcirc

25

You just kind of -- it's a thing that you get in 1 the back and you just kind of scan it and it's not --2 Okay. 3 No one ever violates the rules, so you kind of 4 don't look at them --5 No one --0 6 -- to be quite honest. 7 Okay. All right. And that was -- that was it. 8 I just wanted to get your recollection of it. I'm going 9 to make it real easy. So that's it. 10 All right. 11 MR. DUARTE: Can we ask him questions? 12 MR. LEWIS: Are we allowed to ask? 13 MR. SEIXAS: Yeah, if you guys want. But what I was 14 going to do was ask all witnesses first and then see if 15 you guys want to ask any of the -- so that way we can --16 THE WITNESS: I'd rather you question me now if you 17 don't mind. 18 MR. LEWIS: Wouldn't it be kind of just better to go 19 right down the row? MR. SEIXAS: We can do that. 21 MR. LEWIS: All right. The Chair recognizes Brother 22 Ernie. 23 MR. DUARTE: I understand you said it was kind of --24 if it was there, it's kind of like in the back, but is it 25

common procedure always at a caucus that you know you 1 should never speak about what is being spoken, especially at a time that's so critical and about putting stuff out? 3 Are you guys told that over there at the caucus, just to 4 keep everything there in-house? 5 THE WITNESS: No. 6 MR. DUARTE: No? Okay. 7 THE WITNESS: No. What -- if you -- if you've been 8 around as long as I have, I've seen guys from 9 San Francisco, I've seen guys from other ports that make 10 this look tame, literally. 11 MR. LEWIS: In what way? 12 THE WITNESS: Information getting out. I mean -- I 13 mean, there's been times where we go into Executive 14 Session just like we do, you know, in E-Board here, 15 but -- I'm very uncomfortable with the court reporter. 16 17 I'm going to say no. 18 MR. DUARTE: Okay. MR. LEWIS: I've got a question. Is it just -- isn't 19 it a general rule that whatever's said here stays here? 20 THE WITNESS: You're asking me --21 MR. LEWIS: Yeah. THE WITNESS: -- for George Lujan? You're asking me. 23 MR. LEWIS: With all your -- you've got more 24 experience with this stuff than I have, yeah. 25

THE WITNESS: If you're asking for me --7 MR. LEWIS: Yeah. 2 THE WITNESS: For me, yeah. I can only speak for myself. 4 MR. LEWIS: But you're probably an average officer; right? 6 THE WITNESS: No, apparently not. I'm sitting here. I mean, no. I can only speak for myself. You know, I 8 9 mean --MR. LEWIS: Okay. 10 THE WITNESS: You can only speak for yourself. 11 MR. LEWIS: Yeah. 12 THE WITNESS: And John can only speak for himself and 13 John, Ernie himself. 14 MR. LEWIS: Go ahead, James. 15 MR. BLACK: So was it verbally spoken not to even 16 discuss any of these procedures or any information of 17 what anybody said it officially, that it shouldn't be 18 brought out or brought out to the public or anything of 19 such? THE WITNESS: You'd have to refer to the -- I'm not 21 trying to avoid your question because I can't honestly 22 23 give you an answer. MR. BLACK: Okay. 24 THE WITNESS: You'd have to refer to the minutes of

the meeting. That would be your best source. There's minutes of the whole caucus from beginning to end; and if there was something said, unless it was said off the record -- and even off the record, you're allowed to get to it. That would -- I don't want to tell you yes, no, because I really honestly --

MR. BLACK: I understand. I understand.

THE WITNESS: I've been to so many, I mean, sometimes -- how can I put it? Those of you that have been on the Executive Board for many years or trial committees, whatever, after a while you start, "Was that 2000? Was that 1996?" You know, that's literally -- that's how many I've been to.

MR. BLACK: So it's just a lot of information.

THE WITNESS: These rules that John referred to, I've gotten them time and time again as part of a packet when you show up. I've probably looked at them, John, maybe out of boredom in my room maybe once.

MR. LEWIS: Okay.

MR. LOWE: Arthur Lowe. Excuse me. You had said earlier about the same thing happens at other locals up the Coast and it makes this look tame. Have you known anything to happen like this before at this level, just a yes or no?

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

MR. LOWE: No? Yes? 1 THE WITNESS: I don't know, bro. I don't know. MR. LOWE: You don't know? Okay, thank you. 3 MR. LEWIS: All right, Brother George. Take your 4 5 seat. MR. SEIXAS: Hold on one second. Does either the 6 witness or the defendant have any questions? 7 MR. LEWIS: Oh, Brother Eric, have you got any 8 questions for George? No? 9 THE WITNESS: I can go? 10 MR. BLACK: What about Toledo? 11 MR. LEWIS: Oh, Dave, sorry. I'm new, guys. 12 Dave, have you got any questions? 13 MR. LOWE: No. 14 MS. ZAKS: I have a question. So you're saying in 15 these caucus meetings, because you guys have so much time 16 and you've been there so many times that it's just -- you 17 guys are so relaxed and it's not taken as seriously? 18 THE WITNESS: No, that's not what I'm saying at all. 19 MS. ZAKS: Oh, okay. THE WITNESS: No. No. Not at all, no. 21 MS. ZAKS: Thank you. 22 THE WITNESS: Not even, no. 23 MR. BLACK: Thank you, Brother Lujan. 24 THE WITNESS: Thank you, guys. Can I leave? I need 25

to be somewhere. 1 MR. SEIXAS: He has a meeting he has to be to, so he's leaving. 3 MR. LEWIS: Brother George -- the Chair recognizes 4 that Brother George has got to take off somewhere else, 5 so we're going to dismiss him for the rest of the 6 proceeding. 7 THE WITNESS: Is that okay? 8 MR. SEIXAS: You're out of here, bro. 9 MR. LEWIS: Next? 10 MR. SEIXAS: I'd like to call up Bobby Olvera. 11 12 BOBBY OLVERA, JR., 13 called as a Witness, was examined and testified as 14 follows: 15 16 EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. SEIXAS: 18 Q Do you recognize either of those from the 19 handouts? A Yes. 21 Okay. So the handouts are recognized. And what 22 is your feeling on the confidentiality that those 23 handouts are -- how do I phrase it? 24 MR. TRIMMER: Common knowledge? 25

BY MR. SEIXAS:

Q What is your feeling of the common knowledge of the confidentiality?

A Well, I think, first of all, you have got to put it in the context of individuals that have -- like George said, if you've been on the E-Board or caucus or any body for a period of time, there's some rules, although they may be written, that you just know. All right?

You know that when you come to E-Board, speaking out of turn is a violation of the rules. But for new members, it's good that they read them so they're up on them.

Some members like JoJo who's been on caucus for not years but decades, he could probably recite these rules verbatim, by heart.

Other members that have never been on caucus before, it's told to them both in the Local caucus meetings amongst ourselves and as the caucus as a whole that it's important for them to read through the packets, not only for our rules of decorum and caucus rules, but also within the packet is contained like your medical if you get hurt or if you're sick while you're in San Francisco, where to go to, what doctors will see you.

So it's important that newer caucus delegates read through everything that's presented to them because

21

22

23

24

just like anything else in this world, ignorance of the law is no excuse.

MR. LEWIS: Any other questions?

EXAMINATION

BY MR. LEWIS:

I've got one. I mean, it's -- judging by George's testimony and yours, it just seems like if you've been on two or three caucuses that it's just not taken that seriously anymore.

I don't think it's not taken seriously. I would put it more towards individuals that are aware of the rules probably take it a little less seriously, not the rules, but having to go through and read them because they've read it so many times over and over again.

For new individuals, especially during a Contract year on a Contract caucus, I do recall -- I don't know if it was on the first day of the caucus, but during the caucus there was mention made about the rules that are contained within -- within this (indicating).

There was discussion about the rules the second time we came around for caucus, based on the issues that had happened with Eric.

So I would -- I would refrain from characterizing as people take their obligations -- or