

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

March 1, 2016

Victor Hudak
Secretary/Treasurer
Local 13

Re: My 3rd request for information regarding the Trial Committee decision, findings, and recommendations being presented to the membership on March 3, 2016.

According to your February 26th letter, "You have been provided all the information to which you are entitled." No fucking way, Brother.

Did you forget that I have the right to "due process of law" and the "right to defend myself?" What do you think you are doing?

You said I get to speak, and I intend to do so. Due process entitles me to all the documents I need to defend myself when I speak against Trial Committee Chair Steve Lewis' decision, findings, and recommendations at the Membership meeting on Thursday, 2 days from today. Do you understand what due process means?

2 days before the Membership meeting, I still have nothing in writing from the Trial Committee regarding the decision, the findings, or the recommendation related to my January 19, 2016 hearing.

The Constitution says the Committee shall present findings and recommendations to the Local. The last sentence of the Trial Procedure (circa 1965) that the Trial Committee adopted, and provided me, states at the conclusion of the hearing; "The parties to the cases will then be re-called and the Chairman shall state the decision of the committee and any defendant found guilty shall be notified that he has the right of appeal to the membership."

Attorney of Facts, John Seixus, in the transcripts on p10, lines 18-20 states; "...the 1965 Trial Committee procedures were adopted by the Trial Committee so that's the procedure we'll be using."

I need to know what I did, in order to defend myself, and you have a duty to tell me.

I still need the lists of those who signed up at both Membership meetings where the Complaint was announced, in order to prepare my response. I know only 8 people signed up at the 1st Union meeting, a violation of the Constitutional procedure.

I still need the Trial Committee minutes in order to prepare my response, and I am entitled to the minutes, just like I was in Mondo's case and Bobby's case. I know 15-20 people were at the 1st Trial Committee Meeting, and more were at the 2nd, another violation of the Constitutional procedure. The transcript shows no motion was made to find me guilty at the Trial Committee hearing as required, and the transcript also shows no motion was made to recommend punishment, I need the Trial Committee Minutes see if any motions were made during the Trial Committee meeting. If no motions were made it is a violation of the procedure.

What Constitutional procedure are you following when you say "You or any other member will be free to speak in favor or against a motion to implement the Trial Committee's recommendations at this meeting?"

What Constitutional rule allows any member to speak in favor or against the Trial Committee recommendation? Members get to vote and that is all. I get to speak, because I am the accused. Only Trial Committee Chair, Steve Lewis, and I are allowed to speak.

This is not open season on Eric. Members who had nothing to do with the hearing do not get to speak. They had their chance to testify at the hearing. You know exactly what will happen if you allow any member to speak.

You are wrong. I have the right to appeal to the membership and I have NOT been provided all the information to which I am entitled before my appeal to the membership.

If I lose my appeal to the Membership, then I can appeal under Article IX. Check your facts.

I will be in tomorrow morning to go over the rules, and to pick up the documents I need to defend myself.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Eric Aldape", written over a light blue horizontal line.

Eric Aldape #7519